

FURTHER CONGRUENCES FOR $(4, 8)$ -REGULAR BIPARTITION QUADRUPLES MODULO POWERS OF 2

MANJIL P. SAIKIA

ABSTRACT. We prove some new congruences modulo powers of 2 for $(4, 8)$ -regular bipartition quadruples, using an algorithmic approach.

A partition λ of n is a non-negative sequence of integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k$ such that the λ_i 's sum up to n . A partition ℓ -tuple of n is an ℓ tuple of partitions $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_\ell)$ such that the sum of all the parts of Λ_i is n . Recently, Nayaka [Nay22] introduced (s, t) -regular bipartition quadruples of a positive integer n , denoted by $BQ_{s,t}$ to be the numbers given by the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{s,t}(n)q^n = \frac{(q^s; q^2)_\infty (q^t; q^t)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty^8},$$

where

$$(a; q)_\infty := \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - aq^n), \quad |q| < 1.$$

Nayaka proved several congruence properties satisfied by $BQ_{s,t}(n)$ for different values of (s, t) . He proved the results using elementary q -series techniques. The aim of this short note is to extend Nayaka's list of congruences for $(s, t) = (4, 8)$ using an algorithmic approach. We use Smoot's [Smo21] implementation of an algorithm of Radu [Rad15] (which we will describe in the next section) to prove this extended list of congruences. This approach has been used very recently by the author [Sai23] to extend some other congruences proved by Nayaka and Naika [NN22].

In this note, we prove the following result.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11P81, 11P83.

Key words and phrases. integer partitions, Ramanujan-type congruences, Radu's algorithm.

Theorem 1. *For all $n \geq 0$, we have*

- (1) $BQ_{4,8}(4n + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$
- (2) $BQ_{4,8}(4n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$
- (3) $BQ_{4,8}(8n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$
- (4) $BQ_{4,8}(8n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$
- (5) $BQ_{4,8}(8n + 7) \equiv 0 \pmod{256},$
- (6) $BQ_{4,8}(16n + 9) \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$
- (7) $BQ_{4,8}(16n + 13) \equiv 0 \pmod{512},$
- (8) $BQ_{4,8}(16n + 15) \equiv 0 \pmod{512},$
- (9) $BQ_{4,8}(32n + 17) \equiv 0 \pmod{32},$
- (10) $BQ_{4,8}(32n + 21) \equiv 0 \pmod{256},$
- (11) $BQ_{4,8}(32n + 25) \equiv 0 \pmod{1024},$
- (12) $BQ_{4,8}(32n + 29) \equiv 0 \pmod{1024},$
- (13) $BQ_{4,8}(64n + 9) \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$
- (14) $BQ_{4,8}(64n + 33) \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$
- (15) $BQ_{4,8}(64n + 41) \equiv 0 \pmod{512},$
- (16) $BQ_{4,8}(64n + 49) \equiv 0 \pmod{64},$
- (17) $BQ_{4,8}(64n + 57) \equiv 0 \pmod{4096}.$

Remark 2. *Nayaka [Nay22] had proved the following*

$$BQ_{4,8}(8n + 7) \equiv 0 \pmod{128}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. To prove Theorem 1, we shall use Radu's Ramanujan-Kolberg algorithm [Rad15] as implemented by Smoot [Smo21] for Mathematica, using his package `RaduRK`. Smoot [Smo21] has detailed instructions on its installation and usage. First we invoke the package in Mathematica as follows:

```
In[1] := <<RaduRK'
```

Before running the program, we need to set two global variables q and t :

```
In[2] := {SetVar1[q], SetVar2[t]}
```

The proof of all the congruences are similar, so we shall only prove (5) in details, which can be proved by the procedure call

```
In[1] := RK[4, 8, {-8, 0, 4, 4}, 8, 7]
```

After a few seconds, we get the proof in the form of the following output.

N:	4
$\{M, (r_\delta)_{\delta M}\}$:	$\{8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}\}$
m:	8
$P_{m,r}(j)$:	$\{7\}$
$f_1(q)$:	$\frac{(q; q)_\infty^{66} (q^2; q^2)_\infty^{10}}{q^8 (q^4; q^4)_\infty^{76}}$
Out [1] := t:	$\frac{(q; q)_\infty^8}{q (q^4; q^4)_\infty^8}$
AB:	$\{1\}$
$\{p_g(t): g \in AB\}$	$\{21760t^8 + 23318528t^7 + 5439488000t^6$ $+ 517291900928t^5 + 25120189972480t^4$ $+ 681697209221120t^3 + 10484942882471936t^2$ $+ 85568392920039424t + 288230376151711744\}$
Common Factor:	256

The interpretation of this output is as follows.

The first entry in the procedure call $\text{RK}[4, 8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}, 8, 7]$ corresponds to specifying $N = 4$, which fixes the space of modular functions

$$M(\Gamma_0(N)) := \text{the algebra of modular functions for } \Gamma_0(N).$$

The second and third entry of the procedure call $\text{RK}[4, 8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}, 8, 7]$ gives the assignment $\{M, (r_\delta)_{\delta|M}\} = \{8, (-8, 0, 4, 4)\}$, which corresponds to specifying $(r_\delta)_{\delta|M} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (-8, 0, 4, 4)$, so that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(n)q^n = \prod_{\delta|M} (q^\delta; q^\delta)_\infty^{r_\delta} = \frac{(q^4; q^4)_\infty^4 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^4}{(q; q)_\infty^8}.$$

The last two entries of the procedure call $\text{RK}[4, 8, \{-8, 0, 4, 4\}, 8, 7]$ corresponds to the assignment $m = 8$ and $j = 7$, which means that we want the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(mn + j)q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(8n + 7)q^n.$$

So, $P_{m,r}(j) = P_{8,r}(7)$ with $r = (-8, 0, 4, 4)$.

The output $P_{m,r}(j) := P_{8,(-8,0,4,4)}(7) = \{7\}$ means that there exists an infinite product

$$f_1(q) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty^{66} (q^2; q^2)_\infty^{10}}{q^8 (q^4; q^4)_\infty^{76}},$$

such that

$$f_1(q) \sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(8n + 7)q^n \in M(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

Finally, the output

$$t = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}^8}{q(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^8}, \quad AB = \{1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \{p_g(t): g \in AB\},$$

presents a solution to the question of finding a modular function $t \in M(\Gamma_0(4))$ and polynomials $p_g(t)$ such that

$$f_1(q) \sum_{n \geq 0} BQ_{4,8}(8n+7)q^n = \sum_{g \in AB} p_g(t) \cdot g$$

In this specific case, we see that the singleton entry in the set $\{p_g(t): g \in AB\}$ has the common factor 256, thus proving equation (5).

The other congruences in Theorem 1 can be proved in a similar way. For instance, to prove (17) we run the procedure call `RK[4, 8, {-8, 0, 4, 4}, 64, 57]`. The output file generated by Mathematica which proves all the congruences in Theorem 1 can be downloaded from <https://manjilsaikia.in/publ/mathematica/BQ-4-8.nb>. \square

For more details on the steps described above, one can consult Radu [Rad15] and Smoot [Smo21].

STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS

Funding. The author is not funded by any source.

Conflicts of interests/Competing interests. The author declares no conflicts of interests and/or competing interests.

REFERENCES

- [Nay22] S.S. Nayaka. Congruences for (s,t)-regular bipartition quadruples. *J. Anal.*, 30:651–666, 2022.
- [NN22] S.S. Nayaka and M.S.M Naika. Congruences modulo powers of 2 for t-colored overpartitions. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, 28:66, 2022.
- [Rad15] Cristian-Silviu Radu. An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan-Kolberg identities. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 68(part 1):225–253, 2015.
- [Sai23] Manjil P. Saikia. Some missed congruences modulo powers of 2 for t-colored overpartitions,. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, 29:15, 2023.
- [Smo21] Nicolas Allen Smoot. On the computation of identities relating partition numbers in arithmetic progressions with eta quotients: an implementation of Radu’s algorithm. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 104:276–311, 2021.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMANITIES AND BASIC SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IIIT) MANIPUR, IMPHAL 795002, MANIPUR, INDIA

Email address: `manjil@saikia.in`