Arithmetic Properties of Overpartition *k*-Tuples with Odd Parts

Manjil P. Saikia

Ahmedabad University

Joint with

{Abhishek Sarma and James A. Sellers}∪{Hirakjyoti Das, A. Sarma, and J. A. Sellers}

2nd Meru Combinatorics Conference June 2024

<ロト < (日) < (1/26) </td>

Arithmetic Properties Modulo Powers of 2 for Overpartition k-Tuples with Odd Parts (with A. Sarma and J. A. Sellers) out on arXiv: 2312.12011 Arithmetic Properties Modulo Powers of 2 for Overpartition *k*-Tuples with Odd Parts (with A. Sarma and J. A. Sellers) out on arXiv: 2312.12011 (denoted by SSS)

Arithmetic Properties Modulo Powers of 2 for Overpartition *k*-Tuples with Odd Parts (with A. Sarma and J. A. Sellers) out on arXiv: 2312.12011 (denoted by SSS)

Arithmetic Properties for Overpartition k-tuples with Odd Parts (with H. Das, A. Sarma, and J. A. Sellers) soon to be out

Arithmetic Properties Modulo Powers of 2 for Overpartition k-Tuples with Odd Parts (with A. Sarma and J. A. Sellers) out on arXiv: 2312.12011 (denoted by SSS)

 Arithmetic Properties for Overpartition k-tuples with Odd Parts (with H. Das, A. Sarma, and J. A. Sellers) soon to be out (denoted by DSSS)

<□> <圕> <불> <불> 불 의익은 3/26

We define a partition λ of a non-negative integer *n* to be an integer sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$

We define a partition λ of a non-negative integer *n* to be an integer sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that

 $\blacktriangleright \ \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0 \text{ and,}$

We define a partition λ of a non-negative integer *n* to be an integer sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that

• $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_\ell > 0$ and, • $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n.$

We define a partition λ of a non-negative integer *n* to be an integer sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that

- $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0$ and,
- $\blacktriangleright \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n.$

We say that λ is a partition of *n*, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$.

We define a partition λ of a non-negative integer *n* to be an integer sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that

 $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0 \text{ and,}$

 $\blacktriangleright \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n.$

We say that λ is a partition of *n*, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$.

The set of partition of n is denoted by P(n) and |P(n)| = p(n).

We define a partition λ of a non-negative integer *n* to be an integer sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that

• $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_\ell > 0$ and, • $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n.$

We say that λ is a partition of *n*, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$.

The set of partition of *n* is denoted by P(n) and |P(n)| = p(n).

For example, there are 5 partitions of 4:

4, 3 + 1, 2 + 2, 2 + 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1 + 1,

We define a partition λ of a non-negative integer *n* to be an integer sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that

• $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_\ell > 0$ and, • $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n.$

We say that λ is a partition of *n*, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$.

The set of partition of *n* is denoted by P(n) and |P(n)| = p(n). For example, there are 5 partitions of 4:

4, 3 + 1, 2 + 2, 2 + 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1 + 1,

so p(4) = 5.

Let us denote the generating function of p(n) by P(q).

Let us denote the generating function of p(n) by P(q).

$$P(q) := \sum_{n \ge 0} p(n)q^n.$$

Here, p(0) = 1.

Let us denote the generating function of p(n) by P(q).

$$P(q):=\sum_{n\geq 0}p(n)q^n.$$

Here, p(0) = 1.

Euler proved that

$$P(q) = \prod_{i \geq 1} rac{1}{1-q^i}.$$

Let us denote the generating function of p(n) by P(q).

$$P(q):=\sum_{n\geq 0}p(n)q^n.$$

Here, p(0) = 1.

Euler proved that

$${\sf P}(q) = \prod_{i\geq 1} rac{1}{1-q^i}.$$

We use the standard notations

$$(a;q)_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1-aq^i),$$

and

$$(a;q)_{\infty} := \lim_{n\to\infty} (a;q)_n$$

Let us denote the generating function of p(n) by P(q).

$$P(q):=\sum_{n\geq 0}p(n)q^n.$$

Here, p(0) = 1.

Euler proved that

$$P(q) = \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{1-q^i}.$$

We use the standard notations

$$(a;q)_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1-aq^i),$$

and

$$(a;q)_{\infty} := \lim_{n\to\infty} (a;q)_n$$

For brevity, we set $f_k := (q^k; q^k)_{\infty}$.

An overpartition of a nonnegative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n, where the first occurrence (or equivalently, the last occurrence) of a number may be overlined.

An overpartition of a nonnegative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n, where the first occurrence (or equivalently, the last occurrence) of a number may be overlined.

The eight overpartitions of 3 are

 $3, \overline{3}, 2+1, \overline{2}+1, 2+\overline{1}, \overline{2}+\overline{1}, 1+1+1, \text{ and } \overline{1}+1+1.$

An overpartition of a nonnegative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n, where the first occurrence (or equivalently, the last occurrence) of a number may be overlined.

The eight overpartitions of 3 are

 $3, \overline{3}, 2+1, \overline{2}+1, 2+\overline{1}, \overline{2}+\overline{1}, 1+1+1, \text{ and } \overline{1}+1+1.$

The number of overpartitions of *n* is denoted by $\overline{p}(n)$ and its generating function is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{p}(n)q^n=\frac{t_2}{t_1^2}.$$

An overpartition of a nonnegative integer n is a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n, where the first occurrence (or equivalently, the last occurrence) of a number may be overlined.

The eight overpartitions of 3 are

 $3, \overline{3}, 2+1, \overline{2}+1, 2+\overline{1}, \overline{2}+\overline{1}, 1+1+1, \text{ and } \overline{1}+1+1.$

The number of overpartitions of *n* is denoted by $\overline{p}(n)$ and its generating function is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{p}(n)q^n=\frac{t_2}{f_1^2}.$$

Formally, first studied by Corteel and Lovejoy (but appeared earlier, as well).

< □ > < 큔 > < 클 > < 클 > < 클 > 클 · 의익() 6/26

An overpartition k-tuple of n is a k-tuple of overpartitions $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$ such that the sum of the parts of ξ_i 's equals n.

An overpartition k-tuple of n is a k-tuple of overpartitions $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$ such that the sum of the parts of ξ_i 's equals n.

The generating function for the number of overpartition k-tuples of n, denoted by $\overline{p}_k(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{p}_k(n)q^n=\frac{f_2^k}{f_1^{2k}}$$

An overpartition k-tuple of n is a k-tuple of overpartitions $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k)$ such that the sum of the parts of ξ_i 's equals n.

The generating function for the number of overpartition k-tuples of n, denoted by $\overline{p}_k(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{p}_k(n)q^n=\frac{f_2^k}{f_1^{2k}}.$$

The arithmetic properties were first studied by Keister, Sellers, and Vary.

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < 볼 ▶ < 볼 ▶ 볼 ∽) Q (~ 7/26

We can similarly define an overpartition k-tuple of n with odd parts to be an overpartition k-tuple $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_k)$ of n where all parts of ξ_i 's are odd.

We can similarly define an overpartition k-tuple of n with odd parts to be an overpartition k-tuple $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_k)$ of n where all parts of ξ_i 's are odd.

The generating function for the number of overpartition k-tuples of n with odd parts, denoted by $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n>0} \overline{OPT}_k(n)q^n = \frac{f_2^{3k}}{f_1^{2k}f_4^k}$$

We can similarly define an overpartition k-tuple of n with odd parts to be an overpartition k-tuple $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_k)$ of n where all parts of ξ_i 's are odd.

The generating function for the number of overpartition k-tuples of n with odd parts, denoted by $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{OPT}_k(n)q^n = \frac{f_2^{3k}}{f_1^{2k}f_4^k}.$$

• the case k = 1 was first studied by Hirschhorn and Sellers,

We can similarly define an overpartition k-tuple of n with odd parts to be an overpartition k-tuple $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_k)$ of n where all parts of ξ_i 's are odd.

The generating function for the number of overpartition k-tuples of n with odd parts, denoted by $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{OPT}_k(n)q^n = \frac{f_2^{3k}}{f_1^{2k}f_4^k}.$$

• the case k = 1 was first studied by Hirschhorn and Sellers,

• the case k = 2 has also been studied, first by Lin,

We can similarly define an overpartition k-tuple of n with odd parts to be an overpartition k-tuple $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_k)$ of n where all parts of ξ_i 's are odd.

The generating function for the number of overpartition k-tuples of n with odd parts, denoted by $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{OPT}_k(n)q^n = \frac{f_2^{3k}}{f_1^{2k}f_4^k}.$$

• the case k = 1 was first studied by Hirschhorn and Sellers,

- the case k = 2 has also been studied, first by Lin,
- the case k = 3 was recently studied by Drema and N. Saikia,

We can similarly define an overpartition k-tuple of n with odd parts to be an overpartition k-tuple $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_k)$ of n where all parts of ξ_i 's are odd.

The generating function for the number of overpartition k-tuples of n with odd parts, denoted by $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{OPT}_k(n)q^n = \frac{f_2^{3k}}{f_1^{2k}f_4^k}.$$

- the case k = 1 was first studied by Hirschhorn and Sellers,
- the case k = 2 has also been studied, first by Lin,
- the case k = 3 was recently studied by Drema and N. Saikia,
- the cases $k \ge 4$ had not been studied before.

Motivation

Motivation

A notable topic in the world of q-series revolves around the arithmetic properties of the coefficients c(n) generated by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}c(n)q^n:=\prod_{\delta}(f^{\delta})^{r_{\delta}}.$$

Motivation

A notable topic in the world of *q*-series revolves around the arithmetic properties of the coefficients c(n) generated by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} c(n)q^n := \prod_{\delta} (f^{\delta})^{r_{\delta}}.$$

We look for congruences of the form

$$c(An+B)\equiv C\pmod{M},$$

holding for any $n \ge 0$, in which the modulus M and the parameters A, B and C are fixed, with C usually being 0.

イロト 不得 とうき とうとう ほ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The study of this problem was initiated by the celebrated congruences modulo 5, 7 and 11 due to Ramanujan for p(n).

The study of this problem was initiated by the celebrated congruences modulo 5, 7 and 11 due to Ramanujan for p(n).

Such congruences were later extended to moduli of an arbitrary power of 5, 7 and 11 as conjectured by Ramanujan:

The study of this problem was initiated by the celebrated congruences modulo 5, 7 and 11 due to Ramanujan for p(n).

Such congruences were later extended to moduli of an arbitrary power of 5, 7 and 11 as conjectured by Ramanujan: For $\ell \in \{5, 7, 11\}$ and $\alpha \ge 1$,

$$p(\ell^{\alpha}n + \delta_{\alpha,\ell}) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{\ell^{\alpha}} & \ell = 5, 11, \\ 0 \pmod{7^{\lceil \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \rceil}} & \ell = 7, \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq \delta_{lpha, \ell} \leq \ell^{lpha} - 1$ being such that

 $24\delta_{\alpha,\ell} \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell^{\alpha}}.$

The study of this problem was initiated by the celebrated congruences modulo 5, 7 and 11 due to Ramanujan for p(n).

Such congruences were later extended to moduli of an arbitrary power of 5, 7 and 11 as conjectured by Ramanujan: For $\ell \in \{5, 7, 11\}$ and $\alpha \ge 1$,

$$p(\ell^{\alpha}n + \delta_{\alpha,\ell}) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{\ell^{\alpha}} & \ell = 5, 11, \\ 0 \pmod{7^{\lceil \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \rceil}} & \ell = 7, \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq \delta_{lpha,\ell} \leq \ell^{lpha} - 1$ being such that

 $24\delta_{\alpha,\ell} \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell^{\alpha}}.$

Watson proved the cases of powers of 5 and 7, while Atkin confirmed the case of powers of 11.

In 2023, Drema and Saikia proved some infinite families of congruences modulo small powers of 2 and 3.

In 2023, Drema and Saikia proved some infinite families of congruences modulo small powers of 2 and 3.

Some examples follow:

In 2023, Drema and Saikia proved some infinite families of congruences modulo small powers of 2 and 3.

Some examples follow: If p is an odd prime satisfying $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = -1$, and r is any integer with $1 \le r \le p - 1$, then for all integers $\alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_3(16n + 14) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_3(16 \cdot p^{2\alpha+1}(pn+r) + 6 \cdot p^{2(\alpha+1)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$

In 2023, Drema and Saikia proved some infinite families of congruences modulo small powers of 2 and 3.

Some examples follow: If p is an odd prime satisfying $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = -1$, and r is any integer with $1 \le r \le p - 1$, then for all integers $\alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_3(16n+14) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_3(16 \cdot p^{2\alpha+1}(pn+r) + 6 \cdot p^{2(\alpha+1)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$

For any integer $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_3(3n+i) \equiv 0 \pmod{3},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_3(24(3n+j)+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{3},$$

where i = j = 1, 2.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

For all $n \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0$, we have

For all $n \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

For all $n \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

The last congruence, generalizes Drema and Saikia's result.

<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト こ の < で 11/26

For all $n \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

The last congruence, generalizes Drema and Saikia's result. We also have some infinite families:

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

The last congruence, generalizes Drema and Saikia's result.

We also have some infinite families: If $p \ge 3$ is a prime, then for all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\delta \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_3(2 \cdot 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2\delta+1}(pn+t) + 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2(\delta+1)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ where $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}.$

For all $n \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_3(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ $\overline{OPT}_3(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_3(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

The last congruence, generalizes Drema and Saikia's result.

We also have some infinite families: If $p \ge 3$ is a prime, then for all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\delta \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2 \cdot 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2\delta+1}(pn+t) + 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2(\delta+1)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$

where $t \in \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$.

A similar result also holds for $k = 3 \pmod{8}$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト ニヨー の

For all $n \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_3(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ $\overline{OPT}_3(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_3(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

The last congruence, generalizes Drema and Saikia's result.

We also have some infinite families: If $p \ge 3$ is a prime, then for all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\delta \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2 \cdot 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2\delta+1}(pn+t) + 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2(\delta+1)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$

where $t \in \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$.

A similar result also holds for $k = 3 \pmod{8}$, $k = 4 \pmod{16}$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト ニヨー の

For all $n \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$ $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

The last congruence, generalizes Drema and Saikia's result.

We also have some infinite families: If $p \ge 3$ is a prime, then for all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\delta \ge 0$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3}(2 \cdot 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2\delta+1}(pn+t) + 3^{2\alpha} \cdot p^{2(\delta+1)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$

where $t \in \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$.

A similar result also holds for $k = 3 \pmod{8}$, $k = 4 \pmod{16}$, and for odd k (mod 4).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

We can prove congruences modulo high powers of 2 for arbritary k:

We can prove congruences modulo high powers of 2 for arbitrary k: Let $k = (2^m)r$ with m > 0 and r odd. Then for all $n \ge 1$ we have

 $\overline{OPT}_k(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}}.$

We can prove congruences modulo high powers of 2 for arbitrary k: Let $k = (2^m)r$ with m > 0 and r odd. Then for all $n \ge 1$ we have

 $\overline{OPT}_k(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}}.$

Proof. We have the following

We can prove congruences modulo high powers of 2 for arbritary k: Let $k = (2^m)r$ with m > 0 and r odd. Then for all $n \ge 1$ we have

 $\overline{OPT}_k(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}}.$

Proof. We have the following

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{OPT}_k(n) q^n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^{2i+1}}{1-q^{2i+1}} \right)^k = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^{2i+1}}{1-q^{2i+1}} \right)^{2^m} \right]^r$$
$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2q^{2i+1}}{1-q^{2i+1}} \right)^{2^m} \right]^r.$$

We can prove congruences modulo high powers of 2 for arbritary k: Let $k = (2^m)r$ with m > 0 and r odd. Then for all $n \ge 1$ we have

 $\overline{OPT}_k(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}}.$

Proof. We have the following

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{OPT}_k(n)q^n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^{2i+1}}{1-q^{2i+1}}\right)^k = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^{2i+1}}{1-q^{2i+1}}\right)^{2^m}\right]^r$$
$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1+\frac{2q^{2i+1}}{1-q^{2i+1}}\right)^{2^m}\right]^r.$$

Using the binomial theorem and a lemma (involving congruences of binomial coefficients), we can conclude

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{OPT}_k(n)q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{m+1}}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$ we have

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$ we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_1(n) \pmod{4}.$$

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$ we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_1(n) \pmod{4}.$$

For all n ≥ 0, k ≥ 0, p (≥ 5) prime, and all quadratic nonresidues r modulo p with 1 ≤ r ≤ p − 1 we have

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$ we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_1(n) \pmod{4}.$$

For all n ≥ 0, k ≥ 0, p (≥ 5) prime, and all quadratic nonresidues r modulo p with 1 ≤ r ≤ p − 1 we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2pn+R) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$ we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_1(n) \pmod{4}.$$

For all n ≥ 0, k ≥ 0, p (≥ 5) prime, and all quadratic nonresidues r modulo p with 1 ≤ r ≤ p − 1 we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2pn+R) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

where

$$R = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ p+r, & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Results for 2k + 1 contd. (SSS)

Results for 2k + 1 contd. (SSS)

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 0$, we have

Results for 2k + 1 contd. (SSS)

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},
\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},
\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},
\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},
\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},
\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},
\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

<ロト < (日) < (14/26) </td>

 14/26

Results for 2k + 1 contd. (SSS)

For all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(8n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

A sketch proof follows.

◆□ → < 部 → < 注 → < 注 → 注 の < C 15/26

We use the following result:

We use the following result: For all odd $t \ge 1$, we have

$$(\phi(q)\phi(q^2)\phi(q^4)^2)^t = \sum_{j=0}^7 a_{t,j}q^j F_{t,j}(q^8),$$

We use the following result: For all odd $t \ge 1$, we have

$$(\phi(q)\phi(q^2)\phi(q^4)^2)^t = \sum_{j=0}^7 a_{t,j}q^j F_{t,j}(q^8),$$

where $F_{t,j}(q^8)$ is a function of q^8 whose power series representation has integer coefficients,

We use the following result: For all odd $t \ge 1$, we have

$$(\phi(q)\phi(q^2)\phi(q^4)^2)^t = \sum_{j=0}^7 a_{t,j}q^j F_{t,j}(q^8),$$

where $F_{t,j}(q^8)$ is a function of q^8 whose power series representation has integer coefficients, and the following divisibilities hold:

$a_{t,1}\equiv 0$	(mod 2),	$a_{t,2}\equiv 0$	(mod 2),
$a_{t,3}\equiv 0$	(mod 4),	$a_{t,4}\equiv 0$	(mod 2),
$a_{t,5}\equiv 0$	(mod 8),	$a_{t,6}\equiv 0$	(mod 4),
$a_{t,7}\equiv 0$	(mod 16),		

イロト 不得 とうき とうとう ほ

15 / 26

We use the following result: For all odd $t \ge 1$, we have

$$(\phi(q)\phi(q^2)\phi(q^4)^2)^t = \sum_{j=0}^7 a_{t,j}q^j F_{t,j}(q^8),$$

where $F_{t,j}(q^8)$ is a function of q^8 whose power series representation has integer coefficients, and the following divisibilities hold:

$a_{t,1}\equiv 0$	(mod 2),	$a_{t,2}\equiv 0$	(mod 2),
$a_{t,3}\equiv 0$	(mod 4),	$a_{t,4}\equiv 0$	(mod 2),
$a_{t,5}\equiv 0$	(mod 8),	$a_{t,6}\equiv 0$	(mod 4),
$a_{t,7}\equiv 0$	(mod 16),		

with

$$\phi(q):=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}q^{k^2}=1+2\sum_{n\geq 1}q^{n^2}.$$

イロト 不得 とうき とうとう ほ

< □ > < 部 > < E > < E > E のQ () 16/26

The generating function for $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ for any odd t is given by

The generating function for $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ for any odd t is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{OPT}_t(n)q^n = \phi(q)^t \phi(q^2)^t \phi(q^4)^{2t} \phi(q^8)^{4t} \cdots$$

The generating function for $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ for any odd t is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{OPT}_t(n)q^n = \phi(q)^t \phi(q^2)^t \phi(q^4)^{2t} \phi(q^8)^{4t} \cdots$$

Since $\left(\prod_{i\geq 3} \phi(q^{2^i})\right)^{2^{i-1} \cdot t}$ is a function of q^8 , it is enough to do the 8-dissection of the first three terms.

The generating function for $\overline{OPT}_k(n)$ for any odd t is given by

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\overline{OPT}_t(n)q^n = \phi(q)^t \phi(q^2)^t \phi(q^4)^{2t} \phi(q^8)^{4t} \cdots$$

Since $\left(\prod_{i\geq 3} \phi(q^{2^i})\right)^{2^{i-1} \cdot t}$ is a function of q^8 , it is enough to do the 8-dissection of the first three terms. We see that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{OPT}_t(n)q^n = \phi(q)^t \phi(q^2)^t \phi(q^4)^{2t} \phi(q^8)^{4t} \cdots,$$

$$=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{7}a_{t,j}q^{j}F_{t,j}(q^{8})\right)\left(\prod_{i\geq 3}\phi(q^{2^{i}})\right)^{2^{-1}\cdot r}$$

The result now follows easily from the lemma.

Based on numerical evidence, we conjectured the following:

Based on numerical evidence, we conjectured the following: For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2^{i+1}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+2) \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2^{2i+1}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+3) \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2^{i+3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+4) \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2^{2i+4}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2^{2i+2}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+6) \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2^{2i+3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+7) \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2^{i+4}). \end{array}$$

Based on numerical evidence, we conjectured the following: For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 & (\bmod \ 2^{i+1}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+2) \equiv 0 & (\bmod \ 2^{2i+1}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+3) \equiv 0 & (\bmod \ 2^{i+3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+4) \equiv 0 & (\bmod \ 2^{2i+4}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 & (\bmod \ 2^{i+2}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+6) \equiv 0 & (\bmod \ 2^{2i+3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+7) \equiv 0 & (\bmod \ 2^{i+4}). \end{array}$$

We can now prove some cases of the above.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+3}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+2}}.$$

For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+3}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+2}}.$$

Notice, one case is better than the previous version in the conjecture.

For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+3}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+2}}.$$

Notice, one case is better than the previous version in the conjecture.

▶ The first case follows immediately from a previous observation.

For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}},$ $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+3}},$ $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+2}}.$

Notice, one case is better than the previous version in the conjecture.

- ▶ The first case follows immediately from a previous observation.
- The second case uses tricky case by case analysis of divisibility of binomial coefficients.

For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}},$ $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+3}},$ $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+2}}.$

Notice, one case is better than the previous version in the conjecture.

- ▶ The first case follows immediately from a previous observation.
- The second case uses tricky case by case analysis of divisibility of binomial coefficients.
- The third case follows from the following

For all $i \ge 1$, $n \ge 0$ and odd r, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+1}},$ $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+3}},$ $\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{i+2}}.$

Notice, one case is better than the previous version in the conjecture.

- ▶ The first case follows immediately from a previous observation.
- The second case uses tricky case by case analysis of divisibility of binomial coefficients.
- ► The third case follows from the following: Let k = 2^mr, m > 0 and r be odd, then for all n ≥ 1 we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{m}r}(n) \equiv \begin{cases} 2^{m+1} \pmod{2^{m+2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is sq, } 2 \times \text{ sq or } 4 \times \text{ sq,} \\ 0 \pmod{2^{m+2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

< □ > < 部 > < E > < E > E のQ() 19/26

Using the theory of modular forms, we also have some of the other cases.

Using the theory of modular forms, we also have some of the other cases. For all $1 \le i \le 5$, $r \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ and $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2i+1}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2i+3}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2i+3}}.$$

Using the theory of modular forms, we also have some of the other cases. For all $1 \le i \le 5$, $r \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ and $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2i+1}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2i+3}},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2i+3}}.$$

And results, such as the following:

Using the theory of modular forms, we also have some of the other cases. For all $1 \le i \le 5$, $r \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ and $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+2) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{mod } 2^{2i+1}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+4) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{mod } 2^{2i+3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+6) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{mod } 2^{2i+3}). \end{array}$$

And results, such as the following: Let k be a fixed positive integer with $k \ge 4$ and $p \ (\neq 3)$ be a prime, then $\overline{OPT}_3(n)$ is almost always divisible by p^k

Using the theory of modular forms, we also have some of the other cases. For all $1 \le i \le 5$, $r \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ and $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+2) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{mod } 2^{2i+1}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+4) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{mod } 2^{2i+3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}r}(8n+6) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{mod } 2^{2i+3}). \end{array}$$

And results, such as the following: Let k be a fixed positive integer with $k \ge 4$ and $p \ (\neq 3)$ be a prime, then $\overline{OPT}_3(n)$ is almost always divisible by p^k , that is

$$\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{|\{n\leq X : \overline{OPT}_3(n)\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}\}|}{X}=1.$$

So far, we have only seen results (mod 2^{ℓ})

So far, we have only seen results $\pmod{2^{\ell}}$. We also have some more results such as the following.

So far, we have only seen results $\pmod{2^{\ell}}$. We also have some more results such as the following.

For all $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(12n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{12},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(12n+10) \equiv 0 \pmod{12},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{18},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(6n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{36},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(24n+23) \equiv 0 \pmod{144}.$$

So far, we have only seen results $\pmod{2^{\ell}}$. We also have some more results such as the following.

For all $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(12n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{12},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(12n+10) \equiv 0 \pmod{12},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{18},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(6n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{36},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(24n+23) \equiv 0 \pmod{144}.$$

For all $i \ge 1$ and $n \ge 0$, we have

Congruences modulo multiples of 3 (DSSS)

So far, we have only seen results $\pmod{2^{\ell}}$. We also have some more results such as the following.

For all $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(12n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{12},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(12n+10) \equiv 0 \pmod{12},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{18},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(6n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{36},$$

$$\overline{OPT}_{3}(24n+23) \equiv 0 \pmod{144}.$$

For all $i \ge 1$ and $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\overline{OPT}_{3^{i}}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{i+1}}.$$

Strong evidence suggests the following are also true:

Strong evidence suggests the following are also true:

For all $i, j \ge 1$ and k not a multiple of 2 or 3, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3^{i} \cdot 2^{j} \cdot k}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{i+1} \cdot 2^{j+2}}.$

Strong evidence suggests the following are also true:

For all $i, j \ge 1$ and k not a multiple of 2 or 3, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3^{i} \cdot 2^{j} \cdot k}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{i+1} \cdot 2^{j+2}}.$

For all $i, j \ge 1$ and k not a multiple of 2 or 3, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3^{i} \cdot 2^{j} \cdot k}(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{i} \cdot 2^{j+1}}.$

Strong evidence suggests the following are also true:

For all $i, j \ge 1$ and k not a multiple of 2 or 3, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3^{i} \cdot 2^{j} \cdot k}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{i+1} \cdot 2^{j+2}}.$

For all $i, j \ge 1$ and k not a multiple of 2 or 3, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_{3^{i} \cdot 2^{j} \cdot k}(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{i} \cdot 2^{j+1}}.$

We have proofs for several cases, and a conjectured lemma which would give the proof for all cases.

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $i, k \ge 1$, we have

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $i, k \ge 1$, we have

 $\begin{array}{ll} \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+1)) \equiv 0 & (\mod 2^{3\alpha+2i-3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+2)) \equiv 0 & (\mod 2^{3\alpha+2i}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 & (\mod 2^{3\alpha+2i-1}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+4)) \equiv 0 & (\mod 2^{3\alpha+2i+3}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 & (\mod 2^{3\alpha+2i-2}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+6)) \equiv 0 & (\mod 2^{3\alpha+2i+2}), \\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 & (\mod 2^{3\alpha+2i}). \end{array}$

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $i, k \ge 1$, we have

 $\begin{array}{l} \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-3}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-1}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+4)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-3}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-2}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+6)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-2}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i+2}}. \end{array}$

This is stronger than the conjecture from SSS, and generalizes some results of Adiga and Dasappa (for the case k = 1, i = 1) and DSSS (for the case $\alpha = 1$).

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $i, k \ge 1$, we have

 $\begin{array}{l} \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-3}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(4n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-1}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+4)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-3}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-2}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+6)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-2}},\\ \overline{OPT}_{2^{i}k}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{3\alpha+2i-2}}. \end{array}$

This is stronger than the conjecture from SSS, and generalizes some results of Adiga and Dasappa (for the case k = 1, i = 1) and DSSS (for the case $\alpha = 1$). Again, we have proofs for several cases of this.

<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト こ の Q () 23 / 26

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $k \ge 0$, we have

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $k \ge 0$, we have

- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+4)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+6)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

For all $n \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $k \ge 0$, we have

- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+3)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+4)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+5)) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+6)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$
- $\overline{OPT}_{2k+1}(2^{\alpha}(8n+7)) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$

This generalizes the results of SSS.

< □ > < 部 > < E > < E > E のQ () 24/26

We are also interested in *internal* congruences of the form

 $c(An+B) \equiv c(A'n+B') \pmod{M},$

We are also interested in internal congruences of the form

 $c(An+B) \equiv c(A'n+B') \pmod{M},$

and we expect that the above two quantities are *not* congruent to a fixed number modulo M for all n, so as to make this relation more nontrivial.

We are also interested in internal congruences of the form

 $c(An+B) \equiv c(A'n+B') \pmod{M},$

and we expect that the above two quantities are *not* congruent to a fixed number modulo M for all n, so as to make this relation more nontrivial.

In many cases, the sequence A'n + B' is rendered as a subsequence of An + B, and such an internal congruence usually allows us to derive an infinite family of congruences under the fixed modulus M.

We are also interested in internal congruences of the form

 $c(An+B) \equiv c(A'n+B') \pmod{M},$

and we expect that the above two quantities are *not* congruent to a fixed number modulo M for all n, so as to make this relation more nontrivial.

In many cases, the sequence A'n + B' is rendered as a subsequence of An + B, and such an internal congruence usually allows us to derive an infinite family of congruences under the fixed modulus M.

Internal congruences modulo an arbitrary power of a number are not known widely.

◆□ → < ⑦ → < Ξ → < Ξ → < Ξ → < ○ へ () 25 / 26

Some proofs of SSS unearthed the following

Some proofs of SSS unearthed the following: for all $n \ge 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

 $\overline{OPT}_3(2^i n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_3(2^{i-1}n) \pmod{2^{i+1}}.$

Some proofs of SSS unearthed the following: for all $n \ge 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

 $\overline{OPT}_3(2^i n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_3(2^{i-1}n) \pmod{2^{i+1}}.$

It looks likely that this is true for general *i*.

Some proofs of SSS unearthed the following: for all $n \ge 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\overline{OPT}_3(2^i n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_3(2^{i-1}n) \pmod{2^{i+1}}.$$

It looks likely that this is true for general i.

We also have:

Some proofs of SSS unearthed the following: for all $n \ge 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\overline{OPT}_3(2^i n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_3(2^{i-1}n) \pmod{2^{i+1}}.$$

It looks likely that this is true for general i.

We also have: For all $n \ge 1$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_4(2n) = 2 \cdot \overline{OPT}_8(n).$

Some proofs of SSS unearthed the following: for all $n \ge 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\overline{OPT}_3(2^i n) \equiv \overline{OPT}_3(2^{i-1} n) \pmod{2^{i+1}}.$$

It looks likely that this is true for general i.

We also have: For all $n \ge 1$, we have

 $\overline{OPT}_4(2n) = 2 \cdot \overline{OPT}_8(n).$

This doesn't seem to generalize as easily.

Thank you for your attention!